# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 



Mara Ximena Haro-Luna<sup>1†</sup>, José Blancas Vázquez<sup>2†</sup>, Felipe Ruan-Soto<sup>3\*</sup> and Laura Guzmán-Dávalos<sup>1\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Traditional mycological knowledge (TMK) is complex, not distributed equally among the entire population, and constantly adapting to current social situations. There are sociocultural factors that could influence the fact that some people retain a greater wealth of knowledge, for instance, cultural affiliation, migration, occupation, level of schooling, and person's age.

**Methods:** We analyze the distribution of the TMK based on sociocultural variables and 12 indicators to quantify the TMK based on a literature review. We chose two sites where there was a Wixarika and Mestizo population with records of use and consumption of wild mushrooms. In each site, 150 semi-structured interviews were conducted. The format of the semi-structured interviews was made up of sociocultural questions plus 12 questions corresponding to each of the indicators. With the data obtained, we performed linear regression tests and principal components analysis (PCA); furthermore, the significance of the groupings obtained by PCA was tested with a discriminant function analysis.

**Results:** We find that TMK was determined by the cultural group to which a person belongs. Contrary to what was expected, age and formal schooling did not influence people's level of knowledge. Likewise, migration and occupation were not determining factors either, although in some specific cases they did influence the differences in knowledge about mushrooms between people. The indicators that most helped to differentiate between the Wixarika people, and the Mestizos were knowledge of the nutritional contribution, propagation methods, and knowledge about toxic mushrooms.

**Conclusions:** In general, sociocultural differences did not affect the transmission of the TMK due to the valorization of this knowledge among the young generations and the maintenance of the use of wild resources. Specifically, the Wixaritari had and preserved a greater TMK thanks to their pride in their cultural identity, which had allowed them to adapt to modernity while preserving their traditions and knowledge. On the other hand, the Mestizos increasingly disused wild resources due to urbanization. The indicators proposed here provided a good tool to quantify TMK; however, to replicate the study in other sites it is necessary to adapt the indicators to the context of the place.

 $^{\dagger}\mbox{Mara}$  Ximena Haro-Luna and José Blancas Vázquez are contributed equally to this work

\*Correspondence: felipe.ruan@unicach.mx; laura.guzman@academicos.udg. mx

<sup>1</sup> Department of Botany and Zoology, University of Guadalajara, Apdo. Postal

1-139, 45147 Zapopan, JAL, Mexico

<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de Ciencias y Artes de Chiapas, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Mexico

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/1.0/) apolies to the data made available in this article unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

**Keywords:** Cultural significance, Edible mushrooms, Ethnomycology, Huichol, Mushrooms, Toxic mushrooms, Wild mushrooms

## Background

The knowledge, practices, and beliefs about the relationship between living beings, including humans, and their environment constitute traditional ecological knowledge (TEK), which is transmitted from one generation to another [1]. This knowledge is related to the culture, language, and worldview of a human group [2, 3], explaining the world, nature, functioning of society, history, rituals, and social organization, as well as interactions within surrounding environment [4]. It is the product of the accumulation of experience and practices in resource use in a particular area throughout history [5, 6]. This accumulation of knowledge is considered culturally and environmentally specific because it allowed the people to develop within their territories, using the local resources [6].

Within the TEK is the traditional mycological knowledge (TMK), which is the set of beliefs, notions, and practices related to fungal diversity that help humans to understand the nature of fungi [7]. It is the product of years of observation and trial and error processes resulting from people's relationship with the available funga. However, knowledge is not static; environmental, social, and historical changes modify this accumulation of wisdom to adapt it to the present reality of each society, or in other cases, it can cause the replacement of local knowledge by that of hegemonic cultures, such as western modernity [5, 8]. Likewise, this knowledge is neither acquired nor distributed equitably throughout the population of the same culture [9]. In general, knowledge is acquired gradually throughout the life of individuals and is influenced by different social factors such as ethnic affiliation, migration, occupation, level of education, and age [5, 9-13]. Under these factors, people create mental models and possess a corpse of knowledge adapted to their context [14, 15].

It has been shown that Indigenous people know a large number of fungal species [16, 17]. In regions where different cultural groups coexist, e.g., Mestizos (mixture of Amerindian and European ancestors) and people belonging to an Indigenous group, the latter generally know a greater diversity of fungi, their ecology, phenology, uses, myths, recipes, and have a fine taxonomic knowledge to determine species (whether they use them or not). Precisely, this was observed by us [18] in the northern zone of Jalisco, Mexico, where the Wixaritari (an indigenous group from western Mexico, also known as Huichol) and the Mestizos have lived together for approximately 200 years. Both cultural groups have contact with the same biota, take advantage of the wild resources of the ecosystems that surround them, and exist a sustained trade between both cultures. Due to the conditions of poverty that prevail in these communities, for people of both cultural groups, wild edible mushrooms are a highly valued resource. They consider mushrooms as a delicious and nutritious food that they can only access for a short period of time during the rainy season. Despite this, it is the Wixaritari who know and use a greater number of species and who know in what type of vegetation each species can be found, recognize their function as degraders and as important actors in completing the cycle of life and death of organisms. Additionally, within their worldview, the Wixaritari consider that toxic mushrooms are the property of God and not simple harmful elements, as is the appreciation of the Mestizos [18].

In other matters, migration is another factor that can influence TEK modification. It has been reported that migrants adapt to the new environment by substituting the plants they used in their place of origin for those that they can find in the new site or by seeking to obtain the same species by import [19]. Thus, it has been seen that ethnobotanical knowledge, for example, has increased by incorporating new species and uses of other plants [20]. It is also the case that, although the migration is momentary, upon returning to their place of origin, people might replace the knowledge and use of local wild resources with global knowledge and prefer to use widely distributed products that they can buy [21-23]. In the case of the use of fungi, by not finding the species that migrants recognize, their knowledge, traditions, and management related to them could be lost, interfering with the transmission of ethnomycological knowledge [24, 25]. However, this knowledge may be adapted to the new funga with which people have contact [26, 27].

On the other hand, societies that base their economy on activities related to agricultural activities have a greater knowledge of wild resources compared to urbanized societies that are dedicated to industry or the provision of services [28]. For instance, Ruan-Soto et al. [29] found in Chiapas that the most mycophilous people were those who were engaged in activities in which they had greater contact with the environment. In this case, the peasants were the ones who had the greatest contact with mushrooms and other wild resources, which leads them to possess more complex knowledge about these organisms and not only about those that have a use [29]. Therefore, the insertion of new economic activities in which it is unnecessary to contact with nature could cause the relegation of local knowledge by other knowledge imposed by a hegemonic culture, and the cessation of traditional practices such as forest management, harvesting, and agriculture [30]. Consequently, the accumulation and acquisition of TEK that is promoted from traditional rural practices could be replaced by new practices and a western and urbanized lifestyle that avoids contact with nature [31, 32].

Regarding education, the formal school has been considered responsible for the loss of native languages in young generations, limiting the transmission of indigenous knowledge [33]. In some cases, formal education influences the loss of traditional knowledge, since tends to introduce arbitrariness to the acquaintance of society, dictating which knowledge is valid, disconnecting students from the environment, and devaluing local knowledge [34]. In macromycetes, not only does the number of known species of mushrooms decrease considerably in people with higher level of formal education [17], but knowledge about local taxonomy is affected by the lack of recognition criteria and traditional names [35].

It has been seen that age can also be an important factor, for example younger students are more receptive and curious about local knowledge than older students [36]. And in a community, the younger people usually have less knowledge than adults because the accumulation of knowledge is a process that takes place throughout a person's life [37]. According to Zent [38], the age at which most traditional knowledge is acquired exponentially are around 15 to 30 years old, after this point learning continues, but more slowly.

Furthermore, ethnomycological studies that have addressed TMK have generally done so from a qualitative approach [27, 28, 39, 40], and the few researches aimed at quantifying TMK, to date, have only considered the number of species mentioned and the local names [41–45]. Currently, work has focused on assessing the cultural significance of the species of edible and toxic fungi [46–49], but not the TMK holistically. For this, various questions arise: How can traditional knowledge be quantified? Is TMK affected by cultural and social changes? How do different sociocultural factors such as ethnic affiliation, migration, occupation, education, and age affect a person's TMK? What are the factors that influence a person to have a higher or lower TMK?

Our objectives were to propose indicators that would allow us to quantify the TMK and determine which are the social and cultural factors that can affect TMK among Wixaritari and Mestizos of Tlaltenango and Villa Guerrero. For this, we proposed 12 indicators with which TMK can be quantified. We carried out this work in two mycophilic sites, with high migration rates, in which an Indigenous group, such as Wixarika, and Mestizo people cohabit. One of these sites has had rapid development so that economic activities have diversified in a short time and the traditional lifestyle has been replaced by a modern one, while in the other site, the population continues to use wild products and economic activities are related to the field. We tested the hypothesis that Wixaritari adult persons who work in the field, with low level of education, and who have not migrated have the greatest TMK. We do not consider the gender factor because in other research carried out in these sites [18, 45], it has been observed that gender has no influence on the relationship between people and fungi.

## Methods

## Study area

Tlaltenango de Sánchez Román is a municipality in the southwest of Zacatecas (Fig. 1), categorized by the Mexican institutions as a municipality with medium urbanization and a low degree of marginalization [50]. More information about the municipality is presented in Table 1. Only 4.5% of the total population was illiterate, 60.1% finished only basic education, 19.1% had upper secondary education, and 16.1% finished college [50]. The 69.66% of men and 30.34% of women were economically active, about 50% of the population was engaged in secondary and tertiary economic activities, and the main economic source was commercial activities [50] (Table 1).

Villa Guerrero is located in the north zone of Jalisco, Mexico, where the 47.7% of its surface is mountainous with slopes greater than 15° [51]. More information about the municipality is presented in Table 1. The level of education of the people in the municipality until 2015 was classified as low; 1,786 inhabitants were registered as illiterate, 68% had basic studies, and only 10% reached the upper secondary education. Therefore, it was classified as having a high level of marginalization especially the majority of the Wixarika population, and with a high migratory intensity and a low degree of connectivity on roads and highways. Its economy was based on agriculture and livestock; 72% of the population was engaged in agricultural activities, but not exclusively. Of the total population, 42.86% were engaged in commerce and 38.87% in tertiary activities. In the municipality, 64.6% of the inhabitants were in a situation of multidimensional poverty and only 13.6% had access to adequate food [51]. The inhabitants conserved the use of wild resources in daily life and Wixaritari were highly dependent on wild resources for their subsistence, and both, the Mestizos and the Wixaritari have a great taste for wild edible mushrooms [18].



 Table 1
 Ecological and sociodemographic characteristics of the study sites [3, 50]

Site	Tlaltenango	Villa Guerrero
Altitude m a.s.l	1600–2900	980–2360
Climate	Sub-humid and semi-warm sob-humid, rains in summer, temperature – 3 to 32 °C, precipitation 700–1000 mm	Semi-warm semi-humid and semi-warm semi-dry, average annual temperature 18.7 °C, average annual precipitation 700 mm
Vegetation	Sub-humid and semi-warm sob-humid, rains in summer, temperature – 3 to 32 °C, precipitation 700–1000 mm	High areas: pine-oak forests Low areas: subtropical scrub and grasslands
Inhabitants	26,748 (98%) Mestizos 554 (2%) Wixaritari 27,302 total*	5298 (94%) Mestizos 340 (6%) Wixarika 5638 total*
Economic activities	Secondary and tertiary (commercial activities and services)	Primary (agriculture and livestock)
Level of urbanization*	High	Low
Migration rate*	Low	High
Poverty level*	Low	High

\*Until 2021[<mark>50</mark>]

## Sample selection and data collection

Before starting the fieldwork and data collection, we requested permits to carry out the interviews and publish the results. The purpose of the work was explained to the municipal political authorities and to each person interviewed, all this following the guidelines of the Code of Ethics of the Latin American Society of Ethnobiology [52].

We designed a format for conducting semi-structured interviews [53], which consisted of a first part with questions to collect sociocultural data such as cultural group, economic activity, age, formal education, language, origin, and whether the interviewee migrated at any time of their life. For the second part of the interview, the indicators shown in Table 2 were proposed based on ethnomycological studies that have to do with the ethnomycological knowledge of different societies and places. Each of these indicators was represented by a question. We had considered including the use of medicinal mushrooms as an indicator; however, it was already known from previous studies [18, 45], as in this fieldwork, that the use of medicinal mushrooms was non-existent in the region, so this point was not considered. Elsewhere, the use of mushrooms as medicine is a common practice, so in those cases the number of known medicinal mushrooms [54], attributed properties, and the methods of consumption or use should be included as indicators.

The collection of images of basidiomycetes that were part of the visual stimuli used in indicator 2 was made from the works of Haro-Luna et al. [18, 44, 45], who collected the mushrooms known and used by the people of both municipalities. The visual stimuli consisted in 30 color photographs of 30 species of mushrooms taken in situ, showing all angles and characteristics of each fungus, printed at  $11 \times 17''$  size (list of species in Attachment 1).

We applied these semi-structured interviews from July 2018 to May 2021. It is important to mention that in 2020 interviews were only conducted in January and February due to the COVID-19 contingency. We interviewed 150 people from Tlaltenango and 150 from Villa Guerrero, all older than 15 years of age. The people were chosen randomly, plotting quadrants on the maps of the study sites, and generating random coordinates in Excel 365. This was to cover the entire extension of the town, and one person from each address drawn was interviewed. The results of the interviews were captured in a database in the Excel 365 program, in which the rows correspond to each interviewe and the columns to each indicator evaluated here.

A principal components analysis (PCA) was performed with this matrix. The significance of the groupings

**Table 2** Indicators and questions of the semi-structured interviews proposed to evaluate the ethnomycological knowledge in Tlaltenango, Zacatecas and Villa Guerrero, Jalisco, Mexico

Indicator	Question*	Score**	References
1. Named mushroom species in Wixarika or Spanish	What mushrooms do you know?	0-X	[2, 8, 31, 55–64]
2. Mushroom recognized species	In this photograph, which mushrooms do you recog- nize?	0–30	[8, 60, 65, 66]
3. Taxonomic finesse (knowledge about the morpho- logical characteristics that help people to differentiate ethnotaxa)	How do you recognize an edible mushroom from a toxic one?	0–2	[25, 35]
4. Phenological knowledge about mushrooms	When does the sp <sub>n</sub> grow?	0-2	[41, 58, 61, 67–69]
5. Ethnoecological knowledge about mushrooms (func- tion of mushrooms in ecosystems, what it grows on, where it grows, and plant association)	Where does the sp <sub>n</sub> grow?	0–2	[58, 61, 63, 70–73]
6. Knowledge about edible mushrooms	Which edible mushrooms do you know?	0-X	[17, 18, 61, 74–76]
7. Recipes and cooking methods	How do you cook the sp <sub>n</sub> ?	0-2	[41, 58, 77]
8. Preservation methods	Do you keep mushrooms to eat in the dry season? How?	0–2	[17, 18, 58, 78, 79]
9. Knowledge of the nutritional contribution	What properties do mushrooms have as food?	0-2	[46, 80–82]
10. Uses of wild mushrooms	What are mushrooms for?	0-2	[17, 18, 41, 58, 61, 83, 84]
11. Propagation or promotion (techniques used to promote the growth of wild mushrooms)	Can you do something to get more mushrooms?	0–2	[18, 58, 85–89]
12. Knowledge about toxic mushrooms	Which toxic mushrooms do you know?	0-X	[18, 41, 49, 61, 90–93]

\*sp<sub>n</sub> refers to each of the mentioned or recognized species or ethnotaxa

\*\* Score 0-X was coded as the total number of mentions. In the score from 0-2, 0 corresponds to a negative answer, 1 to a response on mushrooms in general, and 2 when the answer was specific to an ethnotaxon

obtained by PCA was tested with a discriminant function analysis (DFA). These analyses were performed using RStudio 1.4. In the DFA, we used three groups, two that were found in the PCA, separated by the main component one, and the third group was represented by Mestizo people with origin in rural areas since we expected that due to their place of origin, they would have a greater contact with the biota of the place where they grew up. We interviewed 192 women and 108 men. Of the total number of interviewees (300), the most representative occupations were trade and housewife (Fig. 2). Regarding the distribution of schooling, 26% of the interviewees have nine years of study, 15% have six years of studies, 13.33% have 12 years of studies, 0.6% have 18 years of studies, and 13% did not study (see Fig. 2).

## Results

In this study, the Wixaritari obtained a higher score than the Mestizos interviewed in relation with the TMK. The scores obtained in the responses on the ethnomycological knowledge ranged from 0 to 89. Most of the scores were between 19 and 28 points (st. dev. 19.07) (Fig. 3). The lowest scores (0-1 point) were obtained by non-migrant, Mestizo people who were between 35 and 75 years old, three men and a woman, with 9 to 16 years of study from Tlaltenango, Zacatecas. They were an engineer, a housewife, and two merchants. The people who obtained the highest score (86-89 points) were two Wixaritari women and a Wixaritari man from a rural community who migrated to Tlaltenango and Villa Guerrero for work. They were between 47 and 54 years old, studied from 0 to 6 years, and their occupations were craftswoman, day laborer, and merchant.

### Cultural affiliation, migration, and occupation

The multivariate analyzes, specifically the PCA test, separated the interviewees into two groups according to the cultural affiliation of the people (Fig. 4). Despite what was expected, people were not separated by their economic activity, age, formal education, origin from rural or urban areas, or migration. As can be seen in Fig. 4, people who migrated within Mexico to urban places and those who migrated abroad were not separated from non-migrants. Likewise, those who dedicated themselves to economic activities in which there was no contact with nature were not separated from those who dedicated themselves to rural activities such as agriculture and livestock.

The principal component 1, which explained 47.52% of the variation (Table 3), was represented by the knowledge about edible mushrooms, named mushroom species, knowledge of toxic species, and mushroom recognized species (Table 4). This component separated the Mestizos from the Wixaritari. The principal component 2 explained 10.13% of the variation (Table 3) and was represented by the indicators: knowledge of nutritional contribution and taxonomic finesse (Table 4). The principal component 3 explained 8.76% of the variation (Table 3) and was represented by the indicators referring to the uses of wild mushrooms and preservation methods (Table 4).

DFA helped us to corroborate statistically the groups formed in the PCA (Tables 5 and 6). The first discriminating function separated the Wixarika from the Mestizo people. The most relevant indicators in the first discriminant function were propagation, knowledge of nutritional contribution, and knowledge about toxic fungi (Table 7). While the second function did not totally separate the Mestizos originated from a rural community, it was represented by the indicators ethnoecological knowledge about fungi and preservation methods (see Fig. 5 and Table 7).

According to the classification of people (Table 6), 100% of the Mestizos interviewed had shared knowledge. The 22.22% of the Mestizos with an origin in rural areas had particular knowledge, and of them, 77.77% had shared knowledge with the rest of the Mestizo people. Of the Wixaritari, 92.72% shared knowledge and only 7.27% had less knowledge, similar to that of the Mestizos.

As shown by the DFA (Table 6 and Fig. 5), four Wixaritari people did not behave like the rest. They were four female students, with 11 years of study, who migrated to a big city in the country to study, three of them from Tlaltenango, Zacatecas, and one from Villa Guerrero, Jalisco.

## Level of education and age

We did not find a correlation between the age nor level of education of people and the traditional knowledge (Figs. 6 and 7). The correlation between the TMK score and the level of schooling was negative; however, the  $\mathbb{R}^2$  value showed that it was not significant (0.125, 0.080) (Fig. 6). Likewise, although there was a positive trend between TMK and the age of the Wixaritari, the value of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (0.154, 0.002) showed that it was not significant. Meanwhile, the correlation of the traditional knowledge of the Mestizos was null (Fig. 6).

## Discussion

In the population considered in this study, the determining factor for a person to have greater TMK was ethnic affiliation. This coincides with Molnár and Babai [94], who proposed that the main TEK holders are indigenous groups with direct ties to the ecosystems, who create and maintain traditional knowledge through active use. This, according to Gosh and Sahoo [95], is because the communities have developed this



knowledge about the biological diversity around them to make use of the greatest possible range of resources.

Contrary to expectations, we verified that the sociocultural factors that had been previously observed to influence the loss of ethnobiological knowledge [5, 9-13], such as age, migration, occupation, and level of education, were not relevant in this study in the TMK. The use of wild resources, the cultural roots that prevail despite





social changes and modernity, as well as the importance of mushrooms as a food resource in these societies helped the prevalence of this knowledge, which coincides with Berkes et al. [1], who postulated that TEK prevails in societies that have given continuity to the use of resources throughout their history. In addition, people appreciate this knowledge as it was passed down to them from their parents and grandparents. It does not matter whether a person loses contact with their place of origin due to migration or their occupation, or their age, or years of study, provided that traditional knowledge is valued, it will remain alive as long as the elders transmit **Table 3** Percentage of variation explained in the first three components of the principal components analysis that assessed ethnomycological knowledge in Tlaltenango, Zacatecas, and Villa Guerrero, Jalisco, Mexico

Principal components	Eigenvalues	Percentage	% Accumulated
1	5.70	47.52	47.52
2	1.21	10.13	57.65
3	1.05	8.76	66.48

**Table 4** Eigenvectors of the indicators used in theethnomycological knowledge evaluation in Tlaltenango,Zacatecas, and Villa Guerrero, Jalisco, Mexico

Indicator	Principal components			
	1	2	3	
Named mushroom species	0.372	- 0.285	0.011	
Recognized species of mushrooms	0.344	-0.178	- 0.064	
Taxonomic finesse	0.221	0.405	- 0.270	
Phenological knowledge about mushrooms	0.294	0.259	- 0.359	
Ethnoecological knowledge about mush- rooms	0.270	0.314	- 0.379	
Knowledge about edible mushrooms	0.375	- 0.276	- 0.001	
Recipes	0.267	0.098	- 0.015	
Preservation methods	0.229	- 0.091	0.444	
Knowledge of the nutritional contribution	0.167	0.551	0.439	
Uses of wild mushrooms	0.206	0.229	0.505	
Propagation	0.262	- 0.051	0.049	
Knowledge about toxic mushrooms	0.354	- 0.319	- 0.001	

The highest values in each of the principal components appear in bold

knowledge to the younger generations, who accept it and recognize the importance of this knowledge. Also, we must emphasize the importance of regional markets to maintain knowledge about traditional medicines and foods in Mexico [96]; for example, in this study it was seen that in an urbanized place like Tlaltenango, the sale of wild products has helped to keep alive the traditional diet that will be maintained if there is a demand and sale of wild mushrooms and other non-timber products.

In this study, people who emigrated abroad or to other cities within the country knew the same as the rest of the people of the same cultural group. Migration is usually associated with cultural changes and interference in the transmission of TEK, not only due to the loss of contact with resources, but also because the impact of the dominant societies reduces interest in preserving beliefs, practices, and knowledge [97, 98]. However, the people of Tlaltenango and Villa Guerrero preserved their knowledge, since they actively seek to have contact with the resources present in their place of origin despite the distance. The same phenomena have been reported with food and medicinal wild resources because migrants have the need to maintain their alimentary costumes and elements of their traditional medical practices [19, 99].

In this case, Wixarika were the people with the highest scores in the indicators of TMK, and interestingly, they kept their knowledge, despite having migrated far from the forests where they used to collect mushrooms and having a different lifestyle than those who still live in the communities within the mountains (Fig. 8). This differs from what had been reported so far, in that the people who maintain closeness or contact with the collection sites retained a greater knowledge [100]. In the case studied here, they have preserved their knowledge and traditions despite living in another environment. This happens because the Wixaritari have an ideology that has resisted colonization, imposition, and hegemonic domination, so they have strong and conservative traditions and knowledge [101]. Therefore, this same phenomenon could occur in other ethnic groups with similar ideology resistance.

Contrary to expectations, the Mestizos who grew up in rural areas with greater contact with the biota did not have significantly different knowledge with respect to those who grew up in urbanized areas. In ecological ethnomycology, it is considered that the cultural implications with respect to the biota, like the TMK, are an

 Table 5
 Discriminant function analysis of the ethnomycological knowledge evaluation in Tlaltenango, Zacatecas, and Villa Guerrero,

 Jalisco, Mexico

Discriminant function	Eigenvalues	Relative percentage	Canonical correlation	
1	5.412	96.333	0.918	
2	0.067	3.666	0.250	
Derived functions	Wilks Lambda	Chi square	d.f	Sig. level
1	0.146	560.614	24	0.000
2	0.937	18.913	11	0.062

Actual groups	Predicted groups							
	1		2		3		Total	
	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%	Num	%
Mestizo	236	100	7	77.77	4	7.27	236	100
Mestizo from rural area	0	0	2	22.22	0	0	9	100
Wixarika	0	0	0	0	51	92.72	55	100

**Table 6** Classification of people according to their ethnomycological knowledge by the discriminant function analysis in Tlaltenango,

 Zacatecas, and Villa Guerrero, Jalisco, Mexico

adaptive trait to the ecological conditions with which a human group has contact [102]. The knowledge of the Mestizos who participated in this study corresponded to cultural backgrounds, such as use, harvesting (Fig. 9), recipes, and traditions about some mushroom species that were important in the area like *Agaricus campestris* (Fig. 8), *Pleurotus djamor*, and *Volvariella bombycina*, but not with other species such as those that grow in the temperate forest.

The variables with the greatest weight in the PCA to separate the Wixaritari from the Mestizos were the knowledge of ethnotaxa of edible and toxic fungi, with the Wixaritari knowing a greater diversity of fungi. These results coincide with what was reported by Robles-García et al. [103], in Querétaro, where the Mestizos knew a lower diversity of fungi than the Otomí people, an Indigenous group. But it differs with Montoya et al. [104], who reported that in the Mestizo communities of La Malinche volcano an even greater diversity of mentions was recorded with respect to the Nahua and Otomí communities.

**Table 7** Coefficients of linear discriminants of the 11 indicatorsused to evaluate the ethnomycological knowledge inTlaltenango, Zacatecas, and Villa Guerrero, Jalisco, Mexico

	Discriminant value		
	LD1	LD2	
Named mushroom species	0.148	- 0.120	
Recognized species of mushrooms	0.004	- 0.076	
Taxonomic finesse	-0.421	- 0.895	
Phenological knowledge about mushrooms	0.033	0.086	
Ethnoecological knowledge about mushrooms	-0.028	- 1.012	
Knowledge about edible mushrooms	0.229	0.420	
Recipes	- 0.085	0.284	
Preservation methods	0.485	- 0.688	
Knowledge of the nutritional contribution	- 0.564	0.626	
Uses of wild mushrooms	0.138	- 0.053	
Propagation methods	- 0.988	- 0.076	
Knowledge about toxic mushrooms	0.552	0.077	

The highest or lowest values in each of the main components appear in bold

According to the DFA, the most relevant indicators that separated the Wixaritari from the Mestizos were the knowledge of propagation, knowledge about the nutritional contribution, and knowledge about toxic fungi. Regarding propagation, the Mestizos mentioned the existence of different methods to favor the growth of mushrooms, their knowledge being focused on lignicolous fungi, in which they cut dry trunks of Ochote (Ipomea intrapilosa), soak them and leave them to rot in the shade near a stream, so that fungi can grow. Similar practices have been reported in central Mexico [87]. On the other hand, Wixaritari mentioned that there were no procedures to promote mushroom growth and that their appearance only occurs thanks to the rain. Nevertheless, they mentioned actions to increase the possibility of finding mushrooms in the forest, such as not throwing garbage or not starting fires. This perception is justified, since it has been proven that the mycobiota of a forest change after a fire; especially the amount of ectomycorrhizal fungi decreases [105, 106], which are the ones that the Wixaritari prefer.

For the Wixaritari, mushrooms are a nutritious food, but they do not attribute special qualities. For them, mushrooms are part of their traditional diet such as corn, beans, chili, and other wild plants and animals. For them, mushrooms serve to relieve the hunger of people and animals, or to "fill the belly," so they contribute to their food security [107], while the Mestizos, as in central Mexico and northern Jalisco [18, 47, 103], considered that the mushrooms are nutritious and healthy food because they do not contain pesticides or chemicals.

Nowadays, some Mestizos from urban areas know that mushrooms are an important source of protein because of the media and nutrition campaigns of the Mexican government. However, even though the information disseminated in these campaigns includes fungi in vegetable dishes, the people interviewed classified fungi as a different form of life than plants and animals using criteria such as ecology, phenology, and morphology, just as the Mestizos from central Mexico do [108]. To them, mushrooms differ from plants because





only grow for a short time each year, grow from rotting leaves and wood, and cannot be transplanted.

The Wixaritari were the ones who had the greatest knowledge about the diversity of toxic and useless fungi,

to which they also gave a name, thus this was the third indicator with the highest weight in the DFA. Their vast knowledge was due to the importance of mushrooms in their worldview [49]. For the Wixaritari, toxic





mushrooms were very important as guardians of the forests and were the spirits of edible mushrooms [18], while for the Mestizos they were not important, so they



**Fig. 9** Family from Villa Guerrero, Jalisco harvesting *Agaricus* campestris. Photograph by Mara Ximena Haro-Luna, July 4, 2019

remained in a residual category of organisms [109], which included mushrooms such as Hongo hierboso (from "enhierbar," meaning give someone a toxic mushroom). This demonstrated two types of classifications, one cognitive by the Wixaritari and another utilitarian by the Mestizos [110]. However, Mestizo people who grew up or lived in rural areas mentioned specific names for toxic mushrooms, such as Hongo de sapo (Toad mushroom), Hongo de víbora (Snake mushroom), and Hongo rojo de pino (Red pine mushroom), in the last case for *Amanita muscaria* [45].

In the discriminant function 2, the indicator with the most weight was ethnoecological knowledge, which although it did not separate people who grew up in urban areas, yielded important answers. The ethnoecological knowledge in the study sites was linked to their traditional classification system. Mestizo people grouped mushrooms that grow in grasslands, Agaricus *campestris* and *Calvatia cyathiformis*, in the ethnotaxon Hongo de llano, which had great cultural importance in Tlaltenango, but not in Villa Guerrero. The Mestizos also mentioned Hongos de barranca (Canyon mushrooms), which were lignicolous fungi that grow on decomposing Ipomea intrapilosa trees (Ochotes), such as Hongo de ochote (Volvariella bombycina) and Oreja de ochote (Pleurotus djamor). Another of these Hongos de barranca was Pleurotus djamor, known as Hongo de cazahuate in Tlaltenango, the same name being reported in the state of Morelos [111]. Hongos de la sierra (Mushrooms of the mountains) included all the ectomycorrhizal and saprobic mushrooms of Quercus and Pinus forests, which were less mentioned by people who had less contact with this type of ecosystem, either due to their lifestyle or occupation.

Preservation method was an indicator included in the discriminant function 2. Wixaritari usually sun-dry their mushrooms, particularly *Pleurotus djamor*, to preserve them throughout the year [18]. A few people from Mestizo communities did the same [45]. In urban areas, ignorance of some preservation method predominated, and it was considered a highly perishable food, but 58 people also mentioned keeping them already cooked and frozen, and two adult people from Tlaltenango mentioned that they did not know how to preserve mushrooms, but they knew canned mushrooms. The acquisition of processed food products corresponds to an urban and globalized food model [112]; therefore, the consumption of canned mushrooms that were bought in supermarkets was more common in Tlaltenango than in Villa Guerrero.

Although the level of formal education is usually related to the loss of traditional knowledge [33, 34], we found no relationship between the level of formal schooling and the accumulation or loss of TMK. Although three Wixaritari who were young people and studied for nine to 11 years had a similar knowledge to Mestizos, i.e., less knowledge than other Wixaritari, there were other Wixaritari interviewed with 12–16 years of study who had high scores in the indicators of TMK. Some Wixaritari mentioned that their lack of knowledge was caused by personal disinterest or because they did not like the taste of mushrooms. According to Arjona-García et al. [113], this attitude responds to changes in lifestyle, social roles, and perceptions caused by urbanization and the substitution of the traditions. Traditional knowledge is generally considered to continue to accumulate throughout a person's lifetime [114, 115]. Therefore, an older person in a community is expected to have more knowledge than younger ones [116]. However, we did not find a relationship between age and a person's level of traditional knowledge. This result has already been found in traditional knowledge about plants and animals [32, 117]. It can be explained because the acquisition of TMK probably occurs exponentially before the age of 15 [32, 118] (Fig. 10). In addition to that it must be considered that although they have a similar wealth of knowledge, their application in daily life and practical knowledge varies according to their age and the role they play in society [119].

## Conclusion

In this study, the cultural group to which a person belongs was one of the determining factors to know who has a greater TMK. Nevertheless, it should be considered that social and environmental changes may affect this knowledge, and thus, their influence should not be underestimated. TMK is complex, and many factors can affect its distribution in the population; however, it is shared by people who belong to a culture. In this case, the Wixaritari had strong cultural roots and despite changing their lifestyle they continued to feel pride in their cultural identity; this has helped them preserve their knowledge and elements of their culture. Otherwise, the Mestizos adapted to a modern lifestyle and urbanization [103], leaving aside the use and exploitation of wild products.

In this work, 12 indicators were proposed to evaluate TMK, which worked in the study areas and were appropriate to the zone. In subsequent studies in other areas, these indicators can be used, some could be changed and adapted to the circumstances, and others could be included. Likewise, it would be interesting to carry out a similar study considering children under 15 years of



Fig. 10 Wixarika child playing with non-edible mushrooms. Photograph by Mara Ximena Haro-Luna, July 29, 2019

age. This would help to verify at what age most of the traditional mycological knowledge is acquired. In addition to the fact that Wixaritari children are exposed to a lifestyle different from that of Mestizo children, in which aspects of their worldview, culture, and traditions are instilled in them from a very young age.

As we observed in this work, TMK included different aspects. Although a person knows few fungi, he may have a wide knowledge about those species and a person who may know or recognize many species of fungi might not know anything about their ecology, phenology, edibility, etc. In addition, there is specific knowledge that must be taken with caution since it is exclusive to a small sector of the population, defined by the role that these people have within society, such as traditional doctors, shamans, or local wise person.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the people who agreed to be interviewed in the field work and the authorities who allowed this study to be carried out in their territory.

#### Author contributions

The first two authors, MXHL and JBV, worked equally. MXHL designed the research study, did the field work, did the data analyses, and wrote the manuscript. JBV helped with the research design, performed statistical analyses, and collaborated in the data analyses. FRS participated in the revision of the research design and the manuscript LGD collaborated with the design of the research, in the taxonomical review of mushroom species and in the revision of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the review of the manuscript and read and approved the final version for publication. The last two authors, FRS and LGD, are corresponding authors.

#### Funding

This work was supported by CONACYT (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología) through a postgraduate scholarship awarded to MXHL and to the project number 318542 under the convocatoria FOP01-2021–01, and by the Universidad de Guadalajara.

#### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

## Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Participants and local authorities consented data collection and the publication for scientific purposes and to contribute to the conservation of the traditional knowledge. To carry out this work, we followed the ethic code of the Latin American Society of Ethnobiology (SOLAE, Spanish acronym) [52].

### **Consent for publication**

The people who participated in this study were previously informed about the purpose of this work and gave their approval for its publication. In particular, Mr. Juan de la Cruz approved the publication of his son's photograph and Sandra González agreed that her photograph be used in this publication.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany and Zoology, University of Guadalajara, Apdo. Postal 1-139, 45147 Zapopan, JAL, Mexico. <sup>2</sup>Centro de Investigación en Biodiversidad y Conservación, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos, Cuernavaca, Mexico. <sup>3</sup>Instituto de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de Ciencias y Artes de Chiapas, Tuxtla Gutiérrez, Mexico. Received: 15 September 2022 Accepted: 3 November 2022 Published online: 18 November 2022

#### References

- Berkes F, Colding J, Folke C. Rediscovery of traditional ecological knowledge as adaptative management. Ecol Appl. 2000;10:1251–62.
- Cuevas-Suárez S. El mundo animal de los Amuzgos. Un método etnocientífico. Ciudad de México: CONACULTA, INAH; 2016.
- CONABIO. Comisión Nacional para el Conocimiento y Uso de la Biodiversidad. 2018. www.conabio.gob.mx. Accessed 2 May 2020.
- Giménez G. Estudios sobre la cultura y las identidades sociales. México: CONACULTA-ITESO; 2007.
- Berkes F. Traditional ecological knowledge in perspective. In: Inglis JT, editor. Traditional ecological knowledge concepts and cases. Ottawa: Canadian Museum of Nature-International Development Research Centre; 1993. p. 1–10.
- Menzies CR. Ecological knowledge, subsistence, and livelihood practices. The case of the pine mushroom harvest in Northwestern British Columbia. In: Menzies CR, editor. Traditional ecological knowledge and natural resource management. University of Nebraska Press: Lincoln; 2003. p. 87–106.
- Molares S, Toledo CV, Stecher G, Barrotaveña C. Traditional mycological knowledge and processes of change in Mapuche communities from Patagonia, Argentina: a study on wild edible fungi in Nothofagaceae forests. Mycologia. 2019;112:9–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/00275514. 2019.1680219.
- Saynes-Vásquez A, Caballero J, Meave JA, Chiang F. Cultural change and loss of ethnoecological knowledge among the lsthmus Zapotecs of Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2013;9:40.
- 9. Swift J. Notes on traditional knowledge, modern knowledge and rural development. IDS Bull. 1979;10:41–3.
- Ruddle K. Transmission of traditional ecological knowledge. In: Inglis JT, editor. Traditional ecological knowledge concepts and cases. Ottawa: Canadian Museum of Nature-International Development Research Centre; 1993. p. 17–31.
- Windham FS. The transmission of traditional plant knowledge in community contexts. A human ecosystem perspective. In: Stepp JR, Wyndham FS, Zarger RK, editors. Ethnobiology and biocultural diversity. Cambridge: University of Georgia Press; 2002. p. 549–57.
- Pfeiffer JM, Butz R. Assessing cultural and ecological variation in ethnobiological research: the importance of gender. J Ethnobiol. 2005;25:240–78.
- Ayantunde A, Briejer M, Hiernaux P, Udo H, Tabo R. Botanical knowledge and its differentiation by age, gender and ethnicity in Southwestern Niger. Hum Ecol. 2008;36:881–9.
- 14. Tyler SA. Cognitive anthropology. Nueva York: Holt, Rinehart & Winston; 1969.
- Medin DL, Atran S. Introduction. In: Medin DL, Atran S, editors. Folkbiology. Cambridge: The MIT Press; 1999. p. 1–16.
- Hernández-Santiago F, Pérez-Moreno J, Xoconostla B, Almaraz JJ, Ojeda E, Mata G, Díaz I. Traditional knowledge and use of wild mushrooms by Mixtecs or Ñuu savi, the people of the rain, from Southeastern Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2016;12:35.
- 17. Ruan-Soto F. Sociodemographic differences in the cultural significance of edible and toxic mushrooms among Tsotsil towns in the Highlands of Chiapas Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2018;14:32.
- Haro-Luna MX, Ruan-Soto F, Guzmán-Dávalos L. Traditional knowledge, uses, and perceptions of mushrooms among the Wixaritari and Mestizos of Villa Guerrero, Jalisco Mexico. IMA Fungus. 2019;10:16.
- Pirker H, Haselmair R, Kuhn E, Schunko C, Vogl C. Transformation of traditional knowledge of medicinal plants: the case of Tyroleans (Austria) who migrated to Australia Brazil and Peru. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2012;8:44.
- Saslis-Lagoudakis H, Hawkins J, Greenhill S, Pendri C, Watson M, Tuladhar-Douglas W, Baral S, Savolainen V. The evolution of traditional knowledge: environment shapes medicinal plant use in Nepal. Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2014;281:20132768.

- Nesheim I, Dhillion SS, Stolen KA. What happens to traditional knowledge and use of natural resources when people migrate? Hum Ecol. 2006;34:99–131.
- Díaz-José J, Guevara-Hernández F, Morales-Ríos V, López-Ayala L. Traditional knowledge of edible wild plants used by indigenous communities in Zongolica Mexico. Ecol Food Nutr. 2019;58:511–26.
- Villagómez-Reséndiz R. Mapping styles of ethnobiological thinking in North and Latin America: different kinds of integration between biology, anthropology, and TEK. Stud Hist Philos Biol Biomed Sci Part C. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shpsc.2020.101308.
- Teke NA, Kinge TR, Bechem E, Nji TM, Ndam LM, Mih AM. Ethnomycological study in the Kilum-Ijim mountain forest, Northwest region. Cameroon J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2018;14:25.
- Lee SY, Mohammad A. Local knowledge of edible Gelam mushroom in Terengganu. J Sustain Sci Manag. 2020;15:100–8.
- Mapes C, Bandeira FP, Caballero J, Goes-Neto A. Mycophobic or mycophilic? A comparative ethnomycological study between Amazonia and Mesoamerica. In: Stepp JR, Wyndham FS, Zarger RK, editors. Ethnobiology and biocultural diversity. Proceedings of the Seventh International Congress of Ethnobiology, 23–27 October 2000, Athens: University of Georgia Press; 2002. p. 180–188.
- 27. Arora D, Shepard GH Jr. Mushrooms and economic botany. Econ Bot. 2008;62:207–12.
- Pardo-de-Santayana M, Tardío J, Blanco E, Varvalho AM, Lastra JJ, Miguel E, Morales R. Traditional knowledge of wild edible plants used in the northwest of the Iberian Peninsula (Spain and Portugal): a comparative study. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2007;3:27.
- Ruan-Soto F, Caballero J, Martorel C, Cifuentes J, González-Esquinca AR, Garibay-Orijel R. Evaluation of the degree of mycophilia-mycophobia among highland and lowland inhabitants from Chiapas, Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2013;9:36.
- Lira R, Casas A, Rosas-López R, Paredes-Flores M, Pérez-Negrón E, Rangel-Landa S, Solís L, Torres I, Dávila P. Traditional knowledge and useful plant richness in the Tehuacán-Cuicatlán Valley. Mexico Econ Bot. 2009;63:271–87.
- Zent S. Acculturation and ethnobotanical knowledge loss among the Piaroa of Venezuela. Demonstration of a quantitative method for the empirical study of traditional environmental knowledge change. In: Maffi L, editor. On biocultural diversity: linking language, knowledge, and the environment. Washington: Smithsonian Institution Press; 2001. p. 190–211.
- 32. Koster J, Bruno O, Burns JL. Wisdom of the elders? Ethnobiological knowledge across the lifespan. Curr Anthropol. 2016;57:113–21.
- 33. Simpson LR. Anticolonial strategies for the recovery and maintenance of indigenous knowledge. Am Ind Quart. 2004;28:373–84.
- McCarter J, Gavin MC, Bareleo S, Love M. The challenges of maintaining indigenous ecological knowledge. Ecol Soc. 2014;19:39.
- Tibuhwa DD. Folk taxonomy and use of mushrooms in communities around Ngorongoro and Serengeti National Park Tanzania. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2012;8:36.
- 36. Ugulu I. Traditional environmental knowledge and gifted students as two important resources of social memory: gifted students' attitudes towards traditional knowledge. Europ J Educ Studies. 2021;9:4.
- Biara E, Egeru A, Mensah S, Biira J, Marvin M. Socio-economic factors influencing Afzelia africana Sm use value and traditional knowledge in Uganda: implications for sustainable management. Environ Dev Sustain. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00673-6.
- Zent S. Traditional ecological knowledge (TEK) and biocultural diversity: a close-up look at linkages, delearning trends & changing patterns of transmission. In: Bates P, Chiba M, Kube S, Nakashima D, editors. Learning and knowing in indigenous societies today. Paris: UNESCO; 2009. p. 39–57.
- Khadka B, Aryal HP. Traditional knowledge, and use of wild mushrooms in Simbhanjyang, Makwanour district Central Nepal. Stud Fungi. 2020;5:406–19.
- Zeleke G, Dejene T, Tadesse W, Agúndez D, Martín-Pinto P. Ethnomycological knowledge of three ethnic groups in Ethiopia. Forests. 2020;11:875.
- Montoya A, Hernández-Totomoch O, Estrada-Torres A, Kong A, Caballero J. Traditional knowledge about mushrooms in a Nahua community in the state of Tlaxcala Mexico. Mycologia. 2017;95:793–806.

- 42. Milenge Kamalebo H, Wa Malale HNS, Masumbuko Ndabaga C, Degreef J, De Kesel A. Uses and importance of wild fungi: traditional knowledge from the Tshopo province in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2018;14:13.
- Morales S, Toledo C, Stecher G, Barrotaveña C. Traditional mycological knowledge and processes of change in Mapuche communities from Patagonia, Argentina: a study on wild edible fungi in Nothofagaceae forests. Mycologia. 2019;112:9–23.
- Haro-Luna MX, Guzmán-Dávalos L, Ruan-Soto F. Mycophilic degree among the Wixaritari and Mestizos in Villa Guerrero, Jalisco Mexico. Ethnobiol Conservation. 2020;9:6.
- Haro-Luna MX, Ruan-Soto F, Blancas J, Guzmán-Dávalos L. The cultural role played by the ethnomycological knowledge of wild mushrooms for the peoples of highlands and lowlands in Tlaltenango, Zacatecas, Mexico. Mycologia. 2022;114:645–60.
- Garibay-Orijel R, Caballero J, Estrada-Torres A, Cifuentes J. Understanding cultural significance, the edible mushrooms case. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2007;3:4.
- 47. Alonso-Aguilar LE, Montoya A, Kong A, Estrada-Torres A, Garibay-Orijel R. The cultural significance of wild mushrooms in San Mateo Huexoyucan, Tlaxcala, Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2014;2014(10):27.
- Ruan-Soto F. Highly cultural significant edible and toxic mushrooms among the Tseltal from the Highlands of Chiapas, Mexico. Ethnobiol Conserv. 2020;9:32.
- 49. Ramírez-Terrazo A, Montoya EA, Garibay-Orijel R, Caballero-Nieto J, Kong-Luz A, Méndez-Espinosa C. Breaking the paradigms of residual categories and neglectable importance of non-use resources: the "vital" traditional knowledge of non-edible mushrooms and their substantive cultural significance. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2021;17:28.
- 50. INEGI. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía. 2021. www.inegi. org.mx. Accessed 13 Jun 2021.
- IIEG. Instituto de Información Estadística y Geográfica. 2021. www.iieg. gob.mx. Accessed 13 Jun 2021.
- 52. Cano Contreras EJ, Medinaceli A, Sanabria Diago OL, Argueta Villamar A. Código de ética para la investigación, la investigación-acción y la colaboración etnocientífica en América Latina. Versión aprobada por la Asamblea General de la SOLAE 1 de octubre, 2016 Popayán, Cauca, Colombia. Etnobiología. 2016;14:5–31.
- 53. Bernard HR. Research methods in anthropology. Qualitative and quantitative approaches. SAGE Publications; 2006.
- 54. Guzmán G. Diversity and use of traditional Mexican medicinal fungi. A review. Int J Med Mushrooms. 2008;10:209–17.
- 55. Berlin B. Folk systematics in relation to biological classification and nomenclature. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1973;1973(4):259–71.
- Conklin HC. Lexicographical treatment of folk taxonomies. In: Householder FW, Saporta S, editors. Problems in lexicography. Bloomington: Indiana University Press; 1967. p. 119–41.
- Hays TE. Utilitarian/adaptationist explanations of folk biological classification: some cautionary notes. J Ethnobiol. 1982;2:89–94.
- Estrada-Torres A, Aroche RM. Acervo etnomicológico en tres localidades del municipio de Acambay. Estado de México Rev Mex Mic. 1987;3:109–31.
- 59. López E. Etnobiología: síntesis teórica. América Negra. 1992;3:145-66.
- Zent S. The quandary of conserving ethnoecological knowledge. A Piaroa example. In: Gargson TL, Blount BG, editors. Ethnoecology: knowledge, resources, and rights. Athens: University of Georgia Press; 1999. p. 90–211.
- 61. Garibay-Orijel R, Cifuentes J, Estrada-Torres A, Caballero J. People using macro-fungal diversity in Oaxaca, Mexico. Fungal Divers. 2006;21:41–67.
- 62. Inga B. Reindeer (*Rangifer tarandus tarandus*) feeding on lichens and mushrooms: traditional ecological knowledge among reindeer-herding Sami in northern Sweden. Rangifer. 2007;27:93–106.
- Lampman AM. How folk classification interacts with ethnoecological knowledge: a case study from Chiapas, Mexico. J Ecol Anthropol. 2010;14:3951.
- 64. Albuquerque U, Alves M, Paiva R, Leal N. Methods and techniques used to collect ethnobiological data. In: Albuquerque U, Fernandes L, Paiva R, Nóbrega R, editors. Methods and techniques in ethnobiology and ethnoecology. Nueva York: Human Press; 2014. p. 15–8.
- Guissou KML, Mette A, Sankara P, Guinko S. Declining wild mushroom recognition and usage in Burkina Faso. Econ Bot. 2008;62:530–9.

- Yorek N, Sahin M, Aydin H. Are animals 'more alive' than plants? Animistic-anthropocentric construction of life concept. Eurasia J Math Sci Tech Ed. 2009;5:371–80.
- 67. Lantz TC, Turner NJ. Traditional phenological knowledge of aboriginal peoples in British Columbia. J Ethnobiol. 2003;23:263–86.
- Burrola-Aguilar C, Montiel O, Garibay-Orijel R, Zizumbo-Villarreal L. Conocimiento tradicional y aprovechamiento de los hongos comestibles silvestres en la región de Amanalco Estado de México. Rev Mex Mic. 2012;35:1–16.
- Armatas CA, Venn TJ, McBride BB, Watson AE, Carver SJ. Opportunities to utilize traditional phenological knowledge to support adaptive management of social-ecological systems vulnerable to changes in climate and fire regimens. Ecol Soc. 2016;21:16.
- Oso BA. Mushrooms and the Yoruba people of Nigeria. Mycologia. 1975;67:311–9.
- Ruan-Soto F, Mariaca R, Cifuentes J, Limón F, Pérez-Ramírez L, Sierra-Galván S. Nomenclatura, clasificación y percepciones locales acerca de los hongos en dos comunidades de la Selva Lacandona, Chiapas, México. Etnobiología. 2007;5:1–20.
- Vasco-Palacios AM, Suaza SC, Castaño-Betancur M, Franco Molano AE. Conocimiento entomicológico de los hongos entre los indígenas Uioto, Muinane y Andoke de la Amazonía Colombiana. Acta Amazónica. 2008;38:17–30.
- Jasso-Arriaga X, Martínez-Campos AR, Gheno-Heredia YA, Chávez-Mejía C. Conocimiento tradicional y vulnerabilidad de hongos comestibles en un ejido dentro de un área natural protegida. Polibotánica. 2016;42:167–95.
- Boa E. Hongos silvestres comestibles: una perspectiva global. Roma: FAO; 2005.
- Ruan-Soto F, Garibay-Orijel R, Cifuentes J. Process and dynamics of traditional selling wild edible mushrooms in tropical Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2006;2:3.
- Ruan-Soto F, Mariaca R, Alvarado R. Intoxicaciones mortales por consumo de hongos: una cadena de errores. Ecofronteras. 2012;44:12–4.
- 77. Garibay-Orijel R, Ruan-Soto F, Estrada-Martínez E. El conocimiento micológico tradicional, motor para el desarrollo del aprovechamiento de los hongos comestibles y medicinales. In: Martínez-Carrera D, editor. Hacia un desarrollo sostenible del sistema de producción-consumo de los hongos comestibles y medicinales en Latinoamérica: avances y perspectivas en el siglo XXI. México: Red Latinoamericana de Hongos Comestibles y Medicinales; 2010. p. 243–70.
- Giri A, Rana R. Ethnomycological knowledge and nutritional analysis of some wild edible mushrooms of Sagarmetha National Park (SNP). Nepal J Nat Hist Mus. 2008;23:65–77.
- Moreno-Fuentes A. Un recurso alimentario de los grupos originarios y mestizos de México: los hongos silvestres. An Antropol. 2014;48:241–72.
   Abate D. Cultivation of the oyster mushroom in traditional brick pota.
- Abate D. Cultivation of the oyster mushroom in traditional brick pota. Mycologist. 1995;9:179–81.
- 81. Tuno N. Mushroom utilization by the Majangir, an Ethiopian tribe. Mycologist. 2001;15:78–9.
- Bautista-González JA, Moreno-Fuentes A. Los hongos medicinales de México. In: Moreno-Fuentes A, Garibay-Orijel R, editors. La etnomicología en México, estado del arte. Ciudad de México: CONACYT, UAEH & UNAM; 2014. p. 145–76.
- Ahmad H, Mulk S, Ghafoor S, Ali N. Ethnobotanical study of upper Sirian. J Herbs Spices Med Plants. 2009;15:86–97.
- Ruan-Soto F, Mariaca R, Limón F, Pérez-Ramírez L, Sierra S. Uso y manejo de hongos silvestres en dos comunidades de la Selva Lacandona, Chiapas, México. Rev Mex Mic. 2009;29:61–72.
- Villaseñor-Ibarra L. Etnomicología de la etnia Wirrárixa (Huichola), Jalisco, México. 1999, Zapopan: Master Thesis Universidad de Guadalajara.
- Anderson MK, Moratto MJ. Native American land-use practices and ecological impacts. In: Sierra Nevada Ecosystem Project: final report to Congress, Volume II. Davis: University of California. 1996. p. 187-206.
- Moreno-Fuentes A, Bautista-Nava E. El "hongo blanco patón", Pleurotus albidus, en Hidalgo. Su primer registro en México. Rev Mex Mic. 2006;22:41–7.
- Masaphy S, Zabari L, Gander-Shagug G. Morchella conica Pers. Proliferation in post-fire forests in northern Israel. Isr J Plant Sci. 2008;56:315–9.

- Miller AN, Raudabaugh DB, Iturriaga T, Matheny PB, Petersen RH, Hughes KW, Gube M, Powers RA, James TY, O'Donell K. First report of the post-fire morel, *Morchella exuberans* in eastern North America. Mycologia. 2017;109:710–4.
- 90. Gecan JS, Cichowicz SM. Toxic mushroom contamination of wild mushrooms in commercial distribution. J Food Prot. 1993;56:730–4.
- van Dijk H, Awana N, Kuyper TW. Knowledge and utilization of edible mushrooms by local populations of the rain forest of South Cameroon. J Hum Enviro Stud. 2003;32:19–23.
- Adhikari MK, Devkota S, Tiwari RD. Ethnomycological knowledge on uses of wild mushrooms in western and central Nepal. Our Nat. 2005;3:13–9.
- Ruan-Soto F, Caballero J, Cifuentes J, Garibay-Orijel R. Micofilia y micofobia: revisión de los conceptos, su reinterpretación e indicadores para su evaluación. In: Moreno-Fuentes A, Garibay-Orijel R, editors. La etnomicología en México, estado del arte, Ciudad de México: CONACYT. UAEH: UNAM; 2014. p. 17–32.
- 94. Molnár Z, Babai D. Inviting ecologist to delve deeper into traditional ecological knowledge. Trends Ecol Evol. 2021;36:679–90.
- Gosh PK, Sahoo B. Indigenous traditional knowledge. Orissa. Review. 2011;6:65–70.
- Pérez-Moreno J, Martínez-Reyes M, Yescas-Pérez A, Delgado-Alvarado A, Xoconostle-Cázares B. Wild mushroom markets in Central Mexico and a case study at Ozumba. Econ Bot. 2008;62:425–36.
- Sierra-Huelsz JA, Gerez P, López C, Guibrunet EEA. Traditional ecological knowledge in community forest management: evolution and limitations in Mexican forest law, policy and practice. Forest. 2020;11:403.
- Kumar TN. An overview of threats to traditional ecological knowledge. J Res Humanit Soc Sci. 2021;9(11):1–4.
- Aswani S, Lemahieu A, Sauer WHH. Global trends of local ecological knowledge and future implications. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(4):e0195440.
- Bello-Cervantes E, Correa-Metrio A, Montoya A, Trejo I, Cifuentes BJ. Variation of ethnomycological knowledge in a community from Central Mexico. J Fungal Divers. 2019;1:6–26.
- 101. Cervantes-Rivas BA. Huicholes, residentes en Real de Catorce y su resistencia ideológica a la hegemonía cultural del pueblo. In: Ordoñes Burgos J, compilator. Investigaciones de filosofía en el norte de México 1st ed. Ciudad Juárez: Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez. 2016. p. 61–84.
- 102. Ruan-Soto F, Cifuentes J, Garibay-Orijel R, Caballero J. Comparación de la disponibilidad de hongos comestibles en tierras altas y bajas de Chiapas, México, y sus implicaciones en estrategias tradicionales de aprovechamiento. Acta Bot Mex. 2021;128:e1731.
- Robles-García D, Suzán-Azpiri H, Montoya-Esquivel A, García-Jiménez J, Esquivel EU, Yahia E, Landeros-Jaime F. Ethnomycological knowledge in three communities in Amealco, Querétaro Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2018;14:7.
- Montoya A, Torres-García EA, Kong A, Estrada-Torres A, Caballero J. Gender differences and regionalization of the cultural significance of wild mushrooms around La Malinche volcano, Tlaxcala Mexico. Mycologia. 2012;104:826–34.
- Vásquez PG, Fraile RF, Hernández-Rodríguez M, Oria-de-Rueda JA, Bravo F, Martín-Pinto P. Post-fire production of mushrooms in *Pinus pinaster* forests using classificatory models. J For Res. 2014;19:348–56.
- Butler A, Ångman E, Ode Sang Å, Sarlöv-Herlin I, Åkerskog A, Knez I. "There will be mushrooms again": Foraging, landscape and forest fire. J Outdoor Recreat Tour. 2021;33:100358.
- 107. Pérez-Moreno J, Guerin-Laguette A, Rinaldi AC, Yu F, Verbeken A, Hernández-Santiago F, Martínez-Reyes M. Edible mycorrhizal fungi of the world: what is their role in forest sustainability, food security, biocultural conservation and climate change? Plants People Planet. 2021;3:471–90.
- Reyes-López RC, Montoya A, Kong A, Cruz-Campuzano EA, Caballero-Nieto J. Folk classification of wild mushrooms from San Isidro Buensuceso, Tlaxcala, Central Mexico. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2020;16:53.
- Berlin B. Ethnobiological classification: principles of categorization of plants and animals in traditional societies. New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 1992.
- 110. Hunn E. The utilitarian factor in folk biological classification. Am Antrhopol. 1982;84:830–47.

- 111. del Campo RM. Contribución al conocimiento de la nomenclatura micológica Nahuatl. Etnobiología. 2012;10:4.
- 112. Schor T, Azenha GS, Bartoli E. Contemporary urbanization in the Brazilian Amazon: food markets, multisited households and ribeirinho livelihoods. Confins. 2018;37:1–14.
- 113. Arjona-García C, Blancas J, Beltrán-Rodríguez L, López Binnqüist C, Colín Bahena H, Moreno-Calles AI, Sierra-Huelsz JA, López-Medellín X. How does urbanization affect perceptions and traditional knowledge of medicinal plants? J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2021;17:48.
- 114. Davis A, Wagner JR. Who knows? On the importance of identifying "experts" when researching local ecological knowledge. Hum Ecol. 2003;31:463–89.
- Okui K, Sawada Y, Yoshida T. "Wisdom of the elders" or "loss of experience" as a mechanism to explain the decline in traditional ecological knowledge: a case study on Awaji Island. Japan Hum Ecol. 2021;49:353–62.
- 116. Ghorbani A, Langenberger G, Sauerborn J. A comparison of the wild food plant use knowledge of ethnic minorities in Naban river watershed national nature reserve, Yunnan SW China. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed. 2012;8:17.
- 117. Mathez-Stiefel SL, Brandt R, Lachmuth S, Rist S. Are the young less knowledgeable? Local knowledge of natural remedies and its transformations in the Andean Highlands. Hum Ecol. 2012;40:909–30.
- 118. Gallois S, Duda R, Reyes-García V. Local ecological knowledge among Baka children: a case of "children's culture"? J Ethnobiol. 2017;37:60–80.
- Lew-Levy S, Ringen EJ, Crittenden AN, Mabulla IA, Broesch T, Kline MA. The life history of learning subsistence skills among Hazda and BaYaka foragers from Tanzania and the Republic of Congo. Hum Nat. 2021;32:16–47.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Page 17 of 17

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

