

Research

Open Access

Indigenous knowledge for plant species diversity: a case study of wild plants' folk names used by the Mongolians in Ejina desert area, Inner Mongolia, P. R. China

Khasbagan* and Soyolt

Address: Institute of Ethnobotany, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Huhhot, 010022, Inner Mongolia, P.R. China

Email: Khasbagan* - ethnobiology@imnu.edu.cn; Soyolt - soyolt@imnu.edu.cn

* Corresponding author

Published: 16 January 2008

Received: 27 February 2007

Accepted: 16 January 2008

Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 2008, 4:2 doi:10.1186/1746-4269-4-2

This article is available from: <http://www.ethnobiomed.com/content/4/1/2>

© 2008 Khasbagan and Soyolt; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Folk names of plants are the roots of traditional plant biodiversity knowledge. This paper mainly records and analyses the wild plant folk names of the Mongolians in the Ejina desert area based on a field survey for collection and identification of voucher specimens. The results show that a total of 121 folk names of local plants have correspondence with 93 scientific species which belong to 26 families and 70 genera. The correspondence between plants' Mongol folk names and scientific species may be classified as one to one correspondence, multitude to one correspondence and one to multitude correspondence. The Ejina Mongolian plant folk names were formed on the basis of observations and an understanding of the wild plants growing in their desert environment. The high correspondence between folk names and scientific names shows the scientific meaning of folk botanical nomenclature and classification. It is very useful to take an inventory of biodiversity, especially among the rapid rural appraisal (RRA) in studying biodiversity at the community level.

Background

Indigenous knowledge is the systematic information that remains in diverse social structures. It is usually unwritten and preserved only through oral tradition, and it refers to the knowledge system of indigenous people and minority cultures. Traditional knowledge of biodiversity concerns the names, uses, and management of plants and animals as perceived by the local and or indigenous people of a given area. Folk names of plants and animals are the roots of traditional biodiversity knowledge. Berlin has indicated a strong need for linking the scientific and folk systems of classification [1]. Examples of such links have been quoted by Berlin et al. who has looked at the relationship between folk names and scientific names [1-4]. For this reason, it has been brought into "Convention on Biological Diversity" (CBD). Precisely, in article 8 of CBD

which describes that "subject to its national legislation, respect, preserve and maintain knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities embodying traditional lifestyles relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity and promote their wider application with the approval and involvement of the holders of such knowledge, innovations and practices and encourage the equitable sharing of the benefits arising from the utilization of such knowledge, innovations and practices". Besides, folk systems of naming and classification transmitted from generation to generation, is constantly recreated by communities and groups in response to their environment. In this case, it undoubtedly fell into the category of "Intangible Cultural Heritage" (ICH) of humanity. In "Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage", "oral

traditions and expressions, including language as a vehicle of the intangible cultural heritage" and "knowledge and practices concerning nature and the universe" were domains of the ICH.

In pace with social change and development, the Mongols are changing from nomadic people into settlement residents. The knowledge concerning grassland ecosystems is vanishing gradually because the related knowledge is no longer useful to the Mongols who are settled down or engaged in farming or other economic pursuits. The Mongolians in Inner Mongolia have been influenced by the Chinese culture, e.g. in some areas Han Chinese words, including plant names, are more or less mixed up with the Inner Mongolians' spoken language. This may be leading to the Mongols forgetting traditional botanical knowledge related to the language of plant folk names and classifications.

Both artificial and natural factors lead to degradation of the grassland and desertification. As a result, plant diversity that Mongolians traditionally named and used has decreased. The reduction of plant diversity may also lead to the extinction of the related knowledge of biodiversity. Thus it will be impossible to hand down to future generations. For this reason, collection and analysis of plant folk names of the Mongolians is extremely important.

Ethnobotanical studies in Inner Mongolia have been carried out since the 1980s, having studied useful plants of herdsmen [5], folk nomenclature [6,7]. However, ethnobotanical findings are still preliminary and fragmentary. Particularly, indigenous Mongolian traditional knowledge of desert plant diversity has been neglected by biologists and anthropologists. Biodiversity has social, economic, ecological and ethical value. Understanding ecological functions of biodiversity, respecting the ethics and social importance of biodiversity, and the appropriate exploitation and use of biodiversity are the global issues facing biodiversity today. Scientists have paid close attention to the relationships between biodiversity and cultural diversity [8-11].

Mongolian traditional knowledge of biodiversity includes aspects of folk nomenclature, and traditional use and management of regional biodiversity. In this paper, in accordance with the ethnobotanical collections of the Mongolian folk names of wild plants in the Ejina desert area, the relationship between folk names and scientific names are studied, and the structure of Ejina Mongolian folk botanical nomenclature is also analyzed.

Materials and methods

Study area and ethnic group

The Ejina desert area is located in western Inner Mongolia of China, at 39°52'20" ~ 42°47'20"N and 97°10'23" ~ 103°7'15"E, with a land area of 102461.30 km², the altitude ranges from 820 m to 1400 m. This area has a temperate zone continental climate, with an average annual temperature of 8.3°C, and a mean rainfall of only 38.2 mm. The frost-free period in the area is 145 days [12]. The Ejina desert area is part of the Alashan desert, which is a part of the Middle Asian desert region of the Asia African Desert region. According to the flora regional system of Inner Mongolia, the area belongs to Typical Desert Zone of the Warm-Temperate Desert Zone [13,14]. The main landscape of this area is desert, which includes sandland, oasis, gobi and lower mountain-monadnock rocky desert etc.

According to related materials [13-16], and our investigations, nearly 200 species of vascular plants are distributed in this area. Scientists have attached importance to the biodiversity of the Ejina desert area. Biological and ecological research projects have been carried out in the area. However, previous research mainly focused on the natural ecology [17-21]. Few scientists paid attention to ethnobiological problems of the interrelationship between local people and biodiversity.

Local peoples in this area are the Torgod Mongolians, one of the descendent tribes of the Mongolian nationality. From 1731 A. D. they have occupied this area and engaged in a traditional nomadic livelihood. At present there are more than 5000 Mongolians in this area.

Methods

During 2001 to 2005, the authors have been to the Ejina Banner 6 times. Field work was done in 12 villages and 55 local Mongol herdsmen (informants) were interviewed. Methods of ethnobotanical interviewing, including key informant interviews, free-listing, and open-ended questionnaires were used. After going to each village, the authors identified elder herdsmen's families and paid a formal visit. Mongolian oral language was used as the working language and findings were originally recorded in Mongolian written language. Scientific names of plants are defined through collection and identification of voucher specimens.

Results and discussion

A total of 119 folk names of local plants are recorded. Based on the results of identifying the specimens, the folk names corresponded with 91 scientific species which belong to 26 families and 70 genera. The rate of Correspondence was 76.47% between folk Mongol names and scientific names (table 1).

Table 1: The Correspondence between folk names of the Mongolians in Ejina desert area and scientific classification

Family	Folk names	Scientific names
Apocynaceae	olus	<i>Poacynum pictum</i> (Schrenk) Baill.
Asclepiadaceae	hucu	<i>Cynanchum cathayense</i> Tsiang et Zhang
Boraginaceae	dumug	<i>Arnebia guttata</i> Bunge
Chenopodiaceae	begesun zhanggu; nas wugai ebess	<i>Lappula deserticola</i> C. J. Wang
	sulker	<i>Agriophyllum pungens</i> (Vahl) Link ex A. Dietr.
	noosut; noosut hamhag	<i>Bassia dasyphylla</i> (Fisch. et Mey.) O. Kuntze
	temljgen; noil	<i>Chenopodium acuminatum</i> Willd.
	noil	<i>Chenopodium album</i> L.
	noil	<i>Chenopodium glaucum</i> L.
	cagan keres; kerest hamhag	<i>Corispermum mongolicum</i> Iljin.
	keres	<i>Halogeton glomeratus</i> (Bieb.) C. A. Mey.
	zag; sagleger zag; xikur zag; yabgan zag	<i>Haloxyton ammodendron</i> (C. A. Mey.) Bunge
	xira kureg; kureg	<i>Kalidium foliatum</i> (Pall.) Moq.
	kureg	<i>Kalidium sinicum</i> (A. J. Li) H. C. Fu et Z. Y. Chu
	har keres; narin cagan	<i>Kochia scoparia</i> (L.) Schrad. var. <i>sieversiana</i> (Pall.) Ulbr.
	hushit	<i>Micropeplis arachnoidea</i> (Moq.) Bunge
	bor budrgen	<i>Salsola passerina</i> Bunge
	baglur	<i>Salsola pestifer</i> A. Nelson
	wurgest	<i>Salsola pellucida</i> Litv.
	Compositae	cagan but
wunurt xiaralj		<i>Artemisia caespitosa</i> Ledeb.
cagan xiabag; agi		<i>Artemisia dalai-lamae</i> Krasch.
xiaralj; agi		<i>Artemisia frigida</i> Willd.
xiar xiabag; tatenghai		<i>Artemisia songarica</i> Schrenk.
xiar mod		<i>Asterothamnus centrali-asiaticus</i> Novopokr.
honggurzul		<i>Cirsium arvense</i> (L.) Scop.
kuji ebess		<i>Inula salsoloides</i> (Turcz.) Osteuf.
sutai nogo		<i>Ixeris chinensis</i> (Thunb.) Nakai
dalen tobqi		<i>Karelinia caspia</i> (Pall.) Less.
gaxiun		<i>Serratula centauroides</i> L.
gaxiun ebess		<i>Sonchus arvensis</i> L.
sutai nabqi		<i>Taraxacum leucanthum</i> (Ledeb.) Ledeb.
Convolvulaceae	oryamug	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> L.
Cruciferae	cagan tolgait; elkendeg	<i>Cardaria pubescens</i> (C. A. Mey.) Jarm.
	lalajing	<i>Lepidium obtusum</i> Basin.
Cynomoriaceae	shaga	<i>Pugionium cornutum</i> (L.) Gaertn.
	wulan goyo; sozhung	<i>Cynomorium songaricum</i> Rupr.
Cyperaceae	xirki	<i>Eleocharis mitracarpa</i> Steud.
Elaeagnaceae	xirki	<i>Scirpus strobilinus</i> Roxb.
	jigd	<i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> L.
Ephedraceae	zergen	<i>Ephedra przewalskii</i> Stapf
Frankeniaceae	kureng ebess	<i>Frankenia pulverulenta</i> L.
Gramineae	tongge; deres	<i>Achnatherum splendens</i> (Trin.) Nevski
	ilbar	<i>Aristida adscensionis</i> L.
	cagan ebess	<i>Cleistogenes squarrosa</i> (Trin.) Keng
	budnur; hazaar ebess	<i>Crypsis aculeata</i> (L.) Ait.
	kag	<i>Leymus secalinus</i> (Georgi) Tzvel.
	hulus; acamag; shagxig hulus; shaorag hulus; hanan hulus; ajirgan hana	<i>Phragmites australis</i> (Cav.) Trin. ex Steud.
	suli	<i>Psammochloa villosa</i> (Trin.) Bor.
	narin ebess	<i>Puccinellia hauptiana</i> (Trin.) Krecz.
	cakildag; cakirma	<i>Iris lactea</i> Pall. var. <i>chinensis</i> (Fisch.) Koidz.
	cegereg	<i>Alhagi maurorum</i> Medic. var. <i>sparsifolium</i> (Shap.) Yakovl.
Iridaceae	munk hargen	<i>Ammopiptanthus mongolicus</i> (Maxim.) Cheng f.
	hatinggir	<i>Astragalus hamiensis</i> S. B. Ho
	alten hargen	<i>Caragana leucophloea</i> Pojark.
	xiker buyaa	<i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i> Fisch.
	zara wurges; ortud	<i>Oxytropis aciphylla</i> Ledeb.
	hor; tom; sogtu ebess	<i>Oxytropis galbra</i> (Lam.) DC.
	horen buyaa	<i>Sophora alopecuroides</i> L.

Table 1: The Correspondence between folk names of the Mongolians in Ejina desert area and scientific classification (Continued)

Liliaceae	porqigenur; honht ebes humel taan	<i>Sphaerophysa salsula</i> (Pall.) DC. <i>Allium mongolicum</i> Regel <i>Allium polyrhizum</i> Turcz. ex Regel
Orobanchaceae	cagan goyoo budergen in goyoo; zag in wug	<i>Cistanche deserticola</i> Ma <i>Cistanche sinensis</i> G. Beck
Plumbaginaceae	zer in deleng zer in deleng zer in deleng	<i>Limonium aureum</i> (L.) Hill ex O. Kuntze <i>Limonium bicolor</i> (Bunge) O. Kuntze <i>Limonium tenellum</i> (Turcz.) O. Kuntze
Polygonaceae	torleg torleg; but wuyet wulan bajun kureng keres	<i>Calligonum gobicum</i> (Bunge) A. Los. <i>Calligonum mongolicum</i> Turcz. <i>Polygonum aviculare</i> L. <i>Rheum nanum</i> Siewers <i>Rumex marschallianus</i> Rchb.
Ranunculaceae	moren hucu	<i>Clematis orientalis</i> L.
Rosaceae	hatu har; boiles	<i>Prunus mongolica</i> Maxim.
Salicaceae	torai; nailjag; zulzagan torai burgas	<i>Populus euphratica</i> Oliv. <i>Salix cheilophila</i> Schneid.
Scrophulariaceae	zer in del	<i>Dodartia orientalis</i> L.
Solanaceae	nohai harmeg ; wurgest harmeg	<i>Lycium ruthenicum</i> Murr.
Tamaricaceae	wulan budergen; budergen yamaan suhai wulan suhai	<i>Reaumuria soongarica</i> (Pall.) Maxim. <i>Tamarix leptostachys</i> Bunge <i>Tamarix ramosissima</i> Ledeb.
Zygophyllaceae	wuseg tosun harmeg; cagan harmeg temer wuseg; wuseg wusun wuseg wumkei ebes yamaan zhanggu botgen tabeg; hulen nai wumdan argal in wumd wulen nai botgen tabeg bojignur; nohai xiereng	<i>Nitraria roborowskii</i> Kom. <i>Nitraria sibirica</i> Pall. <i>Nitraria sphaerocarpa</i> Maxim. <i>Nitraria tangutorum</i> Robr. <i>Peganum harmala</i> L. <i>Tribulus terrestris</i> L. <i>Zygophyllum fabago</i> L. <i>Zygophyllum gobicum</i> Maxim. <i>Zygophyllum loczyi</i> Kanitz <i>Zygophyllum xanthoxylum</i> (Bunge) Maxim.

The correspondence between plant folk names & scientific names

The plants folk names and scientific names (species) are not a simply one to one correspondence. It may be organized as below:

(a) One to one correspondence One folk name has correspondence with one scientific species. For example, the folk name **sulker** only corresponds with *Agriophyllum pungens*, **zhergen** with *Ephedra przewalskii*, **jigd** with *Elaeagnus angustifolia*, **chegereg** with *Alhagi maurorum* var. *sparsifolium*, **suli** with *Psammochloa villosa*, **baglur** with *Salsola pestifer*, **humel** with *Allium mongolicum*, **taan** with *Allium polyrhizum* and **olus** *Poa cynosuroides* etc.

(b) Multitude to one correspondence Two or more folk names have correspondence with only one scientific species. For example, **bor budergen** and **xira huhurgene** correspond with *Kalidium foliatum*, **wulan goyo** and **sozhung** with *Cynomoricum songaricum*; **zag**, **sagleger zag**, **xikur zag**, **yabgan zag** correspondence with *Haloxylon ammodendron*; **hulus**, **acamag**, **shagxig hulus**, **shaorag hulus**, **hanan hulus**, **ajirgan hana** correspondence with *Phrag-*

mites australis etc. In this case, those folk names correspondence with one scientific name are regarded as a folk synonym.

(c) One to multitude correspondence One folk name corresponds with two or more scientific species. For example, **zherin deleng** corresponds with *Limonium aureum*, *Limonium tenellum* and *Limonium bicolor*, **noil** with *Chenopodium acuminatum* and *Chenopodium album*, **xirki** with *Eleocharis mitracarpa* and *Scirpus strobilinus* etc. In this case, those folk names with correspondence with two or more scientific names are regarded as folk homonyms.

Structure of Ejina Mongolian Folk Botanical Nomenclature

A basic step in analyzing the structure of folk botanical nomenclature is to tell the difference between primary and secondary names and to distinguish between the various primary names [22]. According to the result of the Mongolian linguistic analysis, the Mongolian folk names of wild plants in the Ejina desert area are distinguished as primary names and secondary names.

Primary names

A primary name is considered to be 'semantically unitary' which means that it is a single expression, even if composed of more than one constituent. Many primary names have just a single constituent, and they belong to simple primary names, such as **bodurgan**, **bogqignuur**, **boya**, **chaheldeg**, **chaherma**, **chegereg**, **deres**, **goyo**, **hamhag**, **hargan**, **harmag**, **hatingir**, **heres**, **hucu**, **huhurgen**, **hulusu**, **humul**, **jigd**, **kag**, **olos**, **sol**, **sozhung**, **suhai**, **taan**, **torai**, **torlog**, **tunge**, **tunghul**, **wusug**, **xirki**, **zhergen** etc. In the Mongolian language, these words are proper names which haven't other meanings. Other primary names are composed of more than one constituent which belongs to complex primary names. Complex primary names consist of two Mongol words. Some complex primary names include a word such as **bot** [shrub] or **ebes** [grass] which indicates the life form, such as **chagan bot**, **chagan ebes**, **honht ebes**, **huji ebes**, **narin ebes**, **sogtuu ebes**, **wumhei ebes** etc. In this type of folk classification, a word **bot** or **ebes** serves as a taxon such as family or genus in scientific taxonomy. These types of names belong to productive complex primary names. Other complex primary names don't include a word to express a folk taxon, belonging to the productive complex primary name, such as **botgon tabag**, **dalan tobqi**, **hulnai wumdagan**, **wusun hor**, **wuyet wulan**, **zherin deleng** etc.

Secondary names

Secondary names are formed from simple primary names by simply adding a modifier which further describes the plant. Among these types of names, simple primary

names serve as a folk generic. For example, secondary names **wulan suhai** (*Tamarix ramosissima*) and **imaan suhai** (*Tamarix leptostachys*) are formed from the simple primary name **suhai**, and **xehir boya** (*Glycyrrhiza uralensis*) and **horen boya** (*Sophora alopecuroides*) are formed from **boya**. A word **suhai** serves as a folk generic and equals to the scientific genus *Tamarix* (Tamaricaceae). But a folk generic **boya** usually is used to name the plants which have fleshy roots, and it isn't specially appointed to a scientific genus. Among the plant folk names in the Ejina desert area, there are 10 folk generic names collected. The relationship between folk specific, folk generic and scientific species and family names can be seen in table 2.

Discussion

The high correspondence between folk names and scientific names shows the scientific meaning of folk botanical nomenclature and classification. Ejina Mongolians' folk botanical nomenclature and classification is an important part of their natural culture. This type of knowledge and culture has a great effect on their adaptation to the desert environment, utilization of plant resources and traditional biodiversity management on the community level. The collection and analysis of plant and animal folk names is very useful to the inventory of biodiversity, especially among the rapid rural appraisal (RRA) in studying biodiversity at the community level. Sometimes the folk names lead to finding new species records in a given area. In this study the plant folk name **burgas** was recorded in advance and based on the descriptions of the plant's char-

Table 2: Relationship between folk specific, folk generic and scientific species and family

Folk generic	Folk specific	Scientific species	Scientific family
bodurgan	bor bodurgen	<i>Kalidium foliatum</i>	Chenopodiaceae
	wulan bodurgan	<i>Reaumuria soongarica</i>	Tamaricaceae
boya	horen boya	<i>Sophora alopecuroides</i>	Leguminosae
	xehir boya	<i>Glycyrrhiza uralensis</i>	Leguminosae
goyo	chagan goyo	<i>Cistanche sinensis</i>	Orobanchaceae
	wulan goyo	<i>Cistanche deserticola</i>	
hamhag	herest hamhag	<i>Cynomoricum songaricum</i>	Cynomoriaceae
	noosun hamhag	<i>Corispermum mongolicum</i>	Chenopodiaceae
hargan	altan hargan	<i>Bassia dasyphylla</i>	Chenopodiaceae
	munh hargan	<i>Caragana leucophloea</i>	Leguminosae
harmag	chagan harmag	<i>Ammopiptanthus mongolicus</i>	Leguminosae
	nohai harmag	<i>Nitraria sibirica</i>	Zygophyllaceae
heres	heres	<i>Lycium ruthenicum</i>	Solanaceae
	chgan heres	<i>Halogeton glomeratus</i>	Chenopodiaceae
hucu	har heres	<i>Corispermum mongolicum</i>	Chenopodiaceae
	hucu	<i>Kochia scoparia</i> var. <i>sieversiana</i>	Chenopodiaceae
suhai	morin hucu	<i>Cynanchum cathayense</i>	Asclepiadaceae
	imaan suhai	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	Convolvulaceae
xiralji	wulan suhai	<i>Tamarix leptostachys</i>	Tamaricaceae
	xiralji	<i>Tamarix ramosissima</i>	Tamaricaceae
	wunurt xiralji	<i>Artemisia songarica</i>	Compositae
		<i>Artemisia caespitosa</i>	Compositae

acteristics and habitats specimens were collected afterwards by local herdsman. The result of the identification of specimens shows that the scientific name of *burgas* is *Salix cheilophila* Schneid. Thus a folk name led to finding this species in this area for the first time.

Authors' contributions

The field work for data collection and analysis were conducted by all authors. Manuscript preparation was by Khasbagan. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was from National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.30160018). I am most grateful to the Ejina Mongolians for their cooperation in the interview. I am also grateful to Ms Huhtala and Dr. Richard Isenberg for their help in improving the writing.

References

- Berlin B: *Ethnobiological Classification: Principles of Categorization of Plant and Animals in Traditional Societies* New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 1992.
- Berlin B: **Folk systematics in relation to biological classification and nomenclature.** *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 1973, **4**:71-295.
- Berlin B, Breedlove DE, Raven PH: **General Principles of Classification and Nomenclature in Folk Biology.** *Amer Anthro* 1973, **75**:42-214.
- Berlin B, Raven PH, Breedlove D: *Principles of Tzeltal Plant Classification: An Introduction to Botanical Ethnography of a Mayan Speaking Community in Highland Chiapas* New York: Academic Press; 1974.
- Khasbagan : **A preliminary study on plants used as Mongolian traditional tea in Inner Mongolia.** *Acta Botanica Yunnanica* 1990, **12**(1):43-48. (in Chinese).
- Khasbagan , Chen : **The cultural importance of animals in traditional Mongolian plant nomenclature.** In *Culture and environment in Inner Asia:2 Society and culture* Edited by: Humphrey C, Sneath D. Cambridge: The White Horse Press; 1996:25-29.
- Khasbagan , Soyolt , Enhebayar : **The Mongols traditional knowledge of regional plant species diversity: a case study of Arhorchin Mongolians in Inner Mongolia.** In *Biodiversity of the Mongolian Plateau and Adjacent Territory* Edited by: Garidkhuu J. Ulaanbaatar: Mongolian Academy of Natural Sciences; 2001:202-207.
- Dasmann RF: **The importance of cultural and biological diversity.** In *Biodiversity: culture, conservation, and Ecocodevelopment* Edited by: Oldfield ML, Alcorn JB. Boulder : Westview Press; 1991:7-15.
- Pei SJ: **Mountain Culture and Forest Resource Management of Himalaya.** In *Himalaya Ecosystem* Edited by: Tewari DW. Indua: Intel Book Distribution; 1995:114-120.
- Liu AZ, Pei SJ, Chen SY: **Yi nationality's sacred groves and biodiversity conservation in Chuxiong, Yunnan.** *Chinese journal of Applied Ecology* 2000, **11**(4):489-492. (in Chinese).
- Pei SJ: **Bio-cultural diversity and development of western China.** *Journal of Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Sciences* 2002, **19**(2):107-115.
- Editorial Board of the Annals of Ejina Banner: *Annals of Ejina Banner* Beijing: Local Chronicles Press; 1998.
- Investigation group of the Chinese Academy of Sciences for Inner Mongolia and Ningxia: *Vegetation of Inner Mongolia* Beijing: Science Press; 1985:392-419. (in Chinese).
- Ma YQ: *Flora of Inner Mongolia Volume 5.* 2nd edition. Hohhot: Inner Mongolia Peoples Press; 1998. (in Chinese).
- Zhang YL: **The geological study on the plant flora in Ejina county of Inner Mongolia.** *Arid Zone Research* 1997, **14**(3):23-32. (in Chinese).
- Zhu ZY, Wen DS: **A report of investigation on the vegetation and flora in Ejinaqi.** *Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Intra-mongolicae* 1984, **15**(4):417-431. (in Chinese).
- Wang GX, Cheng GD: **Land desertification status and developing trend in the Heihe river basin.** *Journal of desert Research* 1990, **19**(4):368-374. (in Chinese).
- Wang GX, Cheng GD: **Study on the landscape pattern of a desert-oasis ecological system: a spatial grid method and its application.** *Arid Zone Research* 1999, **16**(3):6-11. (in Chinese).
- Wang GX, Cheng GD: **The spatial pattern and influence caused by water resources in arid desert oasis.** *Acta Ecologica Sinica* 2000, **20**(3):363-368. (in Chinese).
- Wang GX, Cheng GD, Shen YP: **Dynamic tendency of arid oasis under the influence of water resources decrease-a case study of Ejina oasis in Heihe river basin.** *Chinese journal of Applied Ecology* 2002, **13**(5):564-568. (in Chinese).
- Liang CZ, Liu ZL, Zhu ZY, Wang W: **Specific diversity and distribution characteristics of annual synusia in Alashan desert.** *Chinese journal of Applied Ecology* 2003, **14**(6):897-903. (in Chinese).
- Martin GJ: *Ethnobotany: a methods manual* London, Glasgow, Weinheim, New York, Tokyo, Melbourne, Madras: Chapman & Hall; 1995.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

